

UDC 339.138

DOI 10.30546/JIECM.2026.01.376

**PSYCHOLOGICAL AND SOCIAL EFFECTS OF INFLUENCER MARKETING ON
CONSUMER BEHAVIOR: A CONCEPTUAL ANALYSIS IN THE CONTEXT OF TRUST,
PARASOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DIGITAL SOCIAL PROOF**

Shargiyya Avaz Namazova
Ganja State University
namazova.shergiyye@mail.ru

Summary. *In the digital economy, social media platforms have fundamentally reshaped the relationship between brands and consumers. At the center of this transformation stands influencer marketing, which has developed from a supplementary communication tactic into a strategically significant mechanism of persuasion, trust formation and social meaning creation. Influencers are not merely transmitters of promotional messages; they function as digital opinion leaders who create symbolic proximity with audiences, personalize brand communication and affect consumer decisions through psychological and social mechanisms. The purpose of this article is to systematize the effects of influencer marketing on consumer behavior through the concepts of trust, source credibility, parasocial relationships, authenticity, social proof, normative influence and electronic word-of-mouth communication. The study is based on a conceptual and integrative literature review. It synthesizes recent academic research and selected industry reports in order to explain how influencer-generated content shapes brand attitudes, purchase intentions and customer engagement. The analysis shows that the persuasive power of influencer recommendations does not depend only on follower count. It is primarily shaped by perceived authenticity, influencer-brand fit, emotional proximity, content value and transparent disclosure of commercial intent. The article distinguishes between psychological mechanisms, such as credibility, emotional attachment, aspiration and perceived similarity, and social mechanisms, such as digital social proof, community belonging, e-WOM and trend diffusion. It then integrates these mechanisms into a managerial framework for ethically and effectively governing influencer campaigns. The findings suggest that influencer marketing can strengthen brand trust and consumer engagement when it is strategically aligned and transparently managed. However, weak authenticity, hidden advertising intent, artificial engagement and poor influencer-brand fit may generate distrust, reputational risks and consumer resistance.*

Keywords: *influencer marketing, consumer behavior, psychological influence, social influence, trust, parasocial relationship, social proof, digital marketing.*

1. Introduction. The rapid expansion of social media platforms has changed the way consumers obtain information, evaluate alternatives and form preferences. Alongside traditional advertising channels, digital mechanisms of social influence have become increasingly important in shaping consumer behavior. One of the most visible and influential of these mechanisms is influencer marketing. In broad terms, influencer marketing may be defined as the use of individuals who have accumulated an audience on social media and who affect consumer perceptions of brands, products or services through their reputation, content and relationship with followers [1; 2]. Its distinctive feature is that promotional communication is not usually presented in an impersonal corporate voice, but through personal experience, lifestyle narrative and seemingly informal advice.

Contemporary consumers rarely rely only on official advertising messages. They search for reviews on social platforms, compare the experiences of other users and pay attention to recommendations from people whom they perceive as similar, credible or aspirational. According to the 2024 Sprout Social Influencer Marketing Report, a substantial proportion of consumers report making purchases on a daily, weekly or monthly basis as a result of influencer posts [12]. This

indicates that influencer marketing is no longer an occasional promotional tool, but a recurring element of the digital consumer decision-making process.

The impact of influencers can be understood on two interrelated levels. The first is the psychological level. At this level, trust, source credibility, emotional closeness, aspiration, social comparison, brand attitude and purchase intention become central explanatory factors [3; 4; 5]. The second is the social level. At this level, digital social proof, electronic word-of-mouth communication, normative influence, reference-group effects, community belonging and trend diffusion shape consumer behavior [2; 6; 7]. These levels are not independent. Psychological trust strengthens social diffusion, while visible social popularity can reinforce individual confidence in a product or brand. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of influencer marketing is neither automatic nor guaranteed. A large follower count does not necessarily create persuasion or trust. De Veirman, Cauberghe and Hudders demonstrate that the number of followers may increase perceived popularity, but this effect turns into positive brand attitude only when the promoted product and the influencer context are perceived as compatible [2, pp. 798-828]. Therefore, influencer selection should not be based only on numerical visibility. It must also consider content relevance, audience trust, ethical transparency and fit between the influencer, the brand and the target community.

Against this background, the article addresses the following research question: through which psychological and social mechanisms does influencer marketing affect consumer behavior, and under what conditions does this influence lead to positive marketing outcomes rather than distrust or consumer resistance? The purpose of the article is to provide a theoretically grounded and practically relevant systematization of influencer marketing effects, to integrate the existing literature and to propose a conceptual framework for brands that seek to manage influencer campaigns ethically and strategically.

2. Literature review. 2.1. Theoretical foundations of influencer marketing. Influencer marketing has emerged at the intersection of digital marketing, social media communication and consumer behavior research. Its core logic is that social media users are not passive recipients of advertising. They create content, exchange opinions, evaluate brands publicly and participate in the social construction of brand meanings [8]. Influencers occupy a central position in this ecosystem because they combine visibility, perceived expertise, personal storytelling and social authority.

In the academic literature, the effect of influencers is commonly explained through the source credibility model, social influence theory, parasocial relationship theory and electronic word-of-mouth communication [3; 5; 9]. According to the source credibility perspective, the persuasiveness of a message depends on the perceived expertise, trustworthiness and attractiveness of the communicator. Influencers become persuasive when followers see them as sincere, knowledgeable and close to their own values or aspirations. Lou and Yuan show that content value and source credibility increase consumer trust in branded social media content [1, pp. 58-73]. This finding is important because it suggests that influencer marketing is not merely a visibility strategy. It is a trust-based communication process. When influencer content is informative, entertaining and useful for the audience, commercial intent becomes less intrusive and brand attitude may become more positive.

2.2. Psychological influence: trust, parasocial relationships and emotional proximity. Trust is the central psychological mechanism of influencer marketing. When consumers perceive an influencer's opinion as sincere and independent, they are more likely to transfer this positive evaluation to the recommended brand. Sokolova and Kefi, in their study of Instagram and YouTube bloggers, found that both source credibility and parasocial interaction positively influence purchase intention [4]. This confirms that influencers do not only transmit information; they also create emotional bonds that increase the persuasive weight of their recommendations. A parasocial relationship refers to a one-sided but emotionally meaningful relationship between a follower and a media figure. In the context of social media, followers regularly observe influencers' daily routines, preferences and personal experiences. Over time, the influencer may be perceived as a familiar person rather than a distant advertiser. As a result, a product recommendation can be interpreted less as a commercial message and more as advice from someone trusted. Recent studies suggest that parasocial

relationships may mediate the relationship between influencer credibility and consumer purchase intention [5].

Another psychological mechanism is social comparison and aspiration. Consumers often compare their lifestyle, appearance or consumption choices with those of influencers. This can motivate them to adopt certain products or brands in order to approximate an aspirational identity. Such motivation may support brand engagement, but it can also produce unrealistic expectations or dissatisfaction when the influencer's lifestyle is excessively idealized. For this reason, ethical responsibility in influencer marketing extends beyond disclosure of sponsorship. It also includes responsibility for the social and psychological meanings communicated through curated lifestyle imagery.

2.3. Social influence: digital social proof, e-WOM and community effects. The social impact of influencer marketing is related to the tendency of individuals to be influenced by the behavior, opinions and norms of others. Digital social proof is one of the strongest mechanisms in this process. When consumers see that a product has attracted many likes, comments, shares or views, they may infer that the product is popular, useful or socially approved. Social media converts such social signals into visible and quantifiable indicators. Electronic word-of-mouth communication is another key mechanism of social diffusion. Traditional word-of-mouth occurred mostly within limited interpersonal networks. In contrast, e-WOM can spread rapidly, publicly and at a much larger scale through social platforms. When influencer content is discussed, shared or commented on by followers, a collective information environment forms around the brand. This environment can reduce perceived risk, increase product curiosity and accelerate consumer decision-making [6; 7].

Community effects also matter. Around many influencers, groups of followers develop shared interests, values and lifestyles. When a consumer identifies with such a community, purchasing a product recommended by the influencer may become more than a functional choice. It can become a symbolic expression of belonging. This explains why micro and nano influencers may, in some cases, generate stronger engagement and trust than macro influencers. Their audiences are often smaller but more cohesive, interactive and identity-based [13].

2.4. Authenticity, transparency and ethical risks. Because influencer marketing relies heavily on trust, authenticity and transparency are essential conditions of its sustainability. Hidden sponsorship, excessive commercialization and recommendations not based on real experience weaken audience trust. Hudders, De Jans and De Veirman emphasize that the rapid commercialization of social media influencers has made disclosure, advertising literacy and audience protection central issues in the field [8, pp. 327-375].

Influencer-brand fit is also a critical factor. When the personal image of the influencer does not correspond to the promoted product, the audience may interpret the content as opportunistic or insincere. Breves and co-authors show that perceived fit between an Instagram influencer and an endorsed brand affects source credibility and persuasive effectiveness [9]. Consequently, influencer selection should not be based only on follower numbers or platform visibility. It must be treated as a strategic decision involving values, audience characteristics, category relevance and ethical compatibility.

3. Methodological approach. This article adopts a conceptual and integrative literature review approach. It does not present a new empirical survey or experimental measurement. Its objective is to systematize recent theoretical and practical knowledge about influencer marketing and to integrate psychological and social influence mechanisms into a coherent analytical framework. The review considers academic studies on influencer marketing, social media advertising, consumer behavior, parasocial relationships, source credibility, electronic word-of-mouth communication, digital social proof and advertising transparency. Priority is given to peer-reviewed international journal articles, supplemented by selected industry reports that demonstrate the current practical relevance of the topic. Academic sources are used to establish theoretical explanations, while industry reports illustrate market tendencies and managerial implications.

This approach is appropriate because influencer marketing is not only a promotional instrument. It is also a psychological persuasion process, a social relationship mechanism and a digital communication phenomenon. It cannot be fully explained by one theory or one metric. The analytical logic of the article follows four stages: first, it identifies the theoretical foundations of influencer marketing; second, it analyzes psychological mechanisms of influence; third, it systematizes social mechanisms of influence; and finally, it proposes an integrative framework that includes managerial and ethical risks.

4. Conceptual framework and discussion. 4.1. Strategic dimensions of influencer impact

The influence of influencer marketing on consumer behavior is multidimensional. It does not emerge simply because an advertising message is seen. Instead, outcomes are produced through the combined effects of influencer identity, audience relationship, content value, brand fit and social diffusion. Influencer marketing should therefore be managed not as a simple promotional campaign, but as a system of trust building and social meaning production.

Table 1.

Main strategic dimensions of influencer marketing effects on consumer behavior

Dimension	Mechanism of influence	Consumer-behavior outcome	Main risk
Source credibility	Perceived expertise, sincerity and trustworthiness	Higher brand trust and stronger purchase intention	Loss of trust and negative reaction
Parasocial relationship	Emotional proximity between follower and influencer	Recommendation perceived as personal advice	Excessive idealization and dependent perception
Authenticity	Content perceived as real experience	Stronger message credibility	Hidden commercial intent
Digital social proof	Likes, comments, shares and views perceived as collective signals	Product perceived as popular and valuable	Artificial engagement and manipulation
e-WOM	Rapid diffusion through social networks	Reduced perceived risk and increased interest	Viral spread of negative comments
Influencer-brand fit	Alignment between influencer image and brand values	Stronger brand attitude and message acceptance	Credibility loss from mismatched cooperation

Source: Compiled by the author based on [1], [2], [4], [8] and [9].

4.2. Managing psychological mechanisms. Table 1 indicates that source credibility and parasocial relationships are central to psychological influence. When an influencer is perceived as sincere and knowledgeable, the brand message gains higher persuasive value. However, this effect does not depend solely on personal reputation. The informativeness of the content, the realism of the product experience and the relevance of the message to audience needs are also important [1; 4].

To manage psychological influence effectively, brands should avoid forcing influencers to repeat standardized advertising scripts. Instead, influencers should be allowed to communicate product experience in their own voice. Audiences quickly recognize formulaic advertising language. When this happens, the content loses authenticity and the audience becomes skeptical. A more balanced and credible approach is to let the influencer explain the product's usefulness, limits and personal relevance in a natural style. It is also important to define ethical limits for psychological triggers such as FOMO, aspiration and social comparison. Limited-time offers and exclusive discounts may generate short-term sales. However, when these tactics are used as continuous pressure, consumers may perceive the campaign as manipulative. Influencer campaigns should therefore balance immediate conversion goals with the long-term preservation of trust.

4.3. Managing social mechanisms. Social mechanisms make influencer marketing powerful in terms of scale. A single post can reach many users, generate discussion and form a collective opinion about a brand. The 2024 Sprout Social report shows that influencer posts affect consumer purchasing frequency and that many consumers have become more trusting of influencers over time [12]. This highlights the strategic importance of influencer marketing for social commerce and the digital customer journey.

At the same time, managing social influence should not be reduced to the pursuit of virality. Viral diffusion can produce positive awareness, but it can also trigger reputational crises. If an influencer exaggerates product claims and consumers later find that the actual experience does not match those claims, negative comments may spread quickly through the same networks that were expected to generate value. In this sense, e-WOM is a two-directional mechanism. It can strengthen trust, but poor management can magnify reputational damage. A community-based approach offers a more sustainable way to manage social impact. Brands should not work only with celebrities or macro influencers who have very large audiences. They should also consider micro influencers who have credibility within specific niches. Micro influencers often have closer community relations, more active feedback and a more authentic image. This can generate deeper and more durable consumer engagement [13].

4.4. An integrative managerial framework. For influencer marketing to be effective, brands should design campaigns as multi-stage strategic processes rather than isolated communication actions. This process includes influencer selection, content strategy, disclosure and transparency, monitoring of audience reactions, evaluation of results and subsequent adjustment.

Table 2.

Integrative managerial framework for psychological and social effects of influencer marketing

Stage	Core elements	Strategic function	Managerial question
Influencer selection	Credibility, expertise, audience fit	Build trust	Is the influencer compatible with the brand?
Content strategy	Authenticity, storytelling, informativeness	Create experience value	Does the content look sincere?
Transparency	Clear disclosure of sponsored content	Reduce ethical risk	Is the advertising intent visible?
Social diffusion	e-WOM, comments, shares	Expand social influence	Are audience reactions monitored?
Evaluation	Engagement, traffic, sales, trust	Measure outcomes	Did the campaign create trust as well as sales?
Correction	Feedback, complaints, repeated cooperation	Revise the strategy	What should be changed?

Source: *Compiled by the author based on [1], [8], [9], [12] and [13].*

The proposed framework shows that the effectiveness of influencer marketing depends on three forms of fit. The first is influencer-audience fit. The message is more persuasive when followers see the influencer's values and lifestyle as relevant to themselves. The second is influencer-brand fit. Sponsored content appears more credible when the product is consistent with the influencer's identity and content line. The third is message-experience fit. The promises made in the promotional message must be confirmed by the consumer's actual product experience. If one of these forms of fit is absent, the campaign may still create short-term visibility, but it is unlikely to create long-term trust. For this reason, brands should not measure influencer campaign performance only through views, likes or clicks. Trust, brand attitude, customer engagement and negative comment dynamics should also be assessed. In contemporary influencer marketing, the key objective is not simply to become viral, but to build a durable and credible social relationship with consumers.

5. Conclusion. The analysis demonstrates that influencer marketing has significant psychological and social effects on contemporary consumer behavior. At the psychological level, influencer credibility, expertise, sincerity and parasocial proximity can strengthen brand attitude and

purchase intention. At the social level, digital social proof, e-WOM, community belonging and normative influence can direct consumer behavior collectively and accelerate the diffusion of brand meanings. The main conclusion of the article is that influencer marketing should not be evaluated only by follower count or content visibility. Its real impact depends on qualitative factors such as trust, fit, authenticity and transparency. When influencer-brand cooperation is perceived by followers as natural and meaningful, brand trust and customer engagement increase. Conversely, when sponsored content appears artificial, advertising intent is hidden or product claims are exaggerated, influencer marketing may generate consumer resistance and reputational loss.

From a practical perspective, brands should treat influencer selection as a strategic decision. They should consider not only audience size, but also audience relevance, credibility, content quality and ethical behavior. Campaigns should be transparent, sponsored content should be clearly disclosed and influencers should be allowed to express their real experience in a sincere manner. At the same time, campaign results should be measured through brand trust, consumer attitude and social feedback dynamics, not only through sales and engagement metrics. In conclusion, influencer marketing is one of the key tools shaping consumer behavior in the digital marketing ecosystem of the twenty-first century. However, its sustainable value depends on whether it is managed as a relationship based on trust, social responsibility and mutual value rather than as a manipulative advertising technique. Future research may empirically examine the impact of influencer marketing in the Azerbaijani market across different sectors, compare micro and macro influencers and analyze how sponsored-content disclosure affects consumer trust.

REFERENCES

1. Lou C. and S. Yuan. "Influencer Marketing: How Message Value and Credibility Affect Consumer Trust of Branded Content on Social Media." *Journal of Interactive Advertising* 19, no. 1 (2019): 58-73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2018.1533501>.
2. De Veirman M., V. Cauberghe and L. Hudders. "Marketing through Instagram Influencers: The Impact of Number of Followers and Product Divergence on Brand Attitude." *International Journal of Advertising* 36, no. 5 (2017): 798-828. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2017.1348035>.
3. Djafarova E. and C. Rushworth. "Exploring the Credibility of Online Celebrities Instagram Profiles in Influencing the Purchase Decisions of Young Female Users." *Computers in Human Behavior* 68 (2017): 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.11.009>.
4. Sokolova K. and H. Kefi. "Instagram and YouTube Bloggers Promote It, Why Should I Buy? How Credibility and Parasocial Interaction Influence Purchase Intentions." *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services* 53 (2020): 101742. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.01.011>.
5. Tripopsakul S. and D. Hoonsoopon. "Social Media Influencer Credibility and Consumer Purchase Intentions: The Mediating Role of Parasocial Relationships." *Emerging Science Journal* 9, no. 4 (2025): 1090-1105.
6. Casaló L. V., C. Flavián and S. Ibáñez-Sánchez. "Influencers on Instagram: Antecedents and Consequences of Opinion Leadership." *Journal of Business Research* 117 (2020): 510-519. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.07.005>.
7. Tafesse W. and B. P. Wood. "Followers' Engagement with Instagram Influencers: The Role of Influencers' Content and Engagement Strategy." *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services* 58 (2021): 102303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102303>.
8. Hudders L., S. De Jans and M. De Veirman. "The Commercialization of Social Media Stars: A Literature Review and Conceptual Framework on the Strategic Use of Social Media Influencers." *International Journal of Advertising* 40, no. 3 (2021): 327-375. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2020.1836925>.
9. Breves P. L., N. Liebers, M. Abt and A. Kunze. "The Perceived Fit between Instagram Influencers and the Endorsed Brand: How Influencer-Brand Fit Affects Source Credibility and Persuasive Effectiveness." *Journal of Advertising Research* 59, no. 4 (2019): 440-454. <https://doi.org/10.2501/JAR-2019-030>.

10. Schouten A. P., L. Janssen and M. Verspaget. "Celebrity vs. Influencer Endorsements in Advertising: The Role of Identification, Credibility, and Product-Endorser Fit." *International Journal of Advertising* 39, no. 2 (2020): 258-281. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2019.1634898>.
11. Campbell C. and J. R. Farrell. "More than Meets the Eye: The Functional Components Underlying Influencer Marketing." *Business Horizons* 63, no. 4 (2020): 469-479. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2020.03.003>.
12. Sprout Social. "The 2024 Influencer Marketing Report." Sprout Social Research Report, 2024.
13. HypeAuditor. "State of Influencer Marketing 2025." HypeAuditor Industry Report, 2025.
14. Safarli A. "The Impact of Different Influencers on Consumer Behavior." *Scientific Work: International Scientific Journal* 18, no. 3 (2024): 133-138.
15. Mehdiyeva L. T. and A. A. Huseynov. "A Study of Consumer Behavior in Electronic Commerce." *Construction Economics and Management* 4 (2024): 211-216.

Received : 20.02.2026

Accepted for publication: 15.04.2026

